



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 4038  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,258	10/28/2003	Kevin J. Zilka	SVIPGP003C	4704
28875	7590	03/15/2006	EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			VU, THANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/695,258

Applicant(s)

ZILKA ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh T. Vu

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Double Patenting***

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 7-17, and 20 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-4, 7-17, and 20 of copending Application No. 10/691,090. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claims 1-4, 7-17, and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 7-17 and 20 respectively of copending Application No. 10/691,090. Although the conflicting claims are not

Art Unit: 2174

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the context of the cited claims of the copending Application No. 10/691,090.

Claims 1-20 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/691,061. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 respectively of copending Application No. 10/691,061. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the context of the cited claims of the copending Application No. 10/691,061.

Claims 1-20 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/691,057. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application

Art Unit: 2174

since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 respectively of copending Application No. 10/691,057. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the context of the cited claims of the copending Application No. 10/695,258.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what the applicant is claiming in claim 19. What is an archival process? What Steps does the process include?

Claim- 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term “capable of” renders the claim indefinite.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-<sup>20</sup>~~24~~ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by MS Internet Explorer (IE). SL

Explorer (IE).

Per claim 1, IE teaches graphical user interface system for use in association with a network browser comprising:

an interface comprising:

a first portion associated with a network browser for displaying Internet content associated with uniform resource locators (URLs) during network browsing (Fig. 1; first portion 10; urls 11, 13, and 14);

a second portion extending along side of the first portion and displaying a plurality of identifiers (Fig. 1; portion 12; identifiers: 13 and 14);

wherein the identifiers displayed by the second portion of the interface are used for both storing an entire page of content by the network browser, and correlating the entire page with a selected one of the identifiers (Figs 2-5; selection of element 21 and 20, and 22 of fig. 2; see list 31, 31 of fig. 3).

Per claim 2, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the identifiers are capable of being selected for identifying further information associated therewith (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 3, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the URLs are displayed by browsing (Figs. 7-9).

Per claim 4, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, and further comprising allowing the user to subsequently access the content utilizing the identifier (Fig. 1, user can select identifier 13 and 14).

Per claim 5, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content is correlated upon selection of the content (Figs. 2-5)

Per claim 6, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 5, wherein the content is automatically correlated upon selection of the content (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 7, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the identifiers have associated displayed buckets (Figs. 5 and 6; buckets 51; the examiner interprets a bucket to be the folder 51 which contains related history links).

Per claim 8, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 7, wherein the identifiers identify the buckets (Fig. 5; identifier 51).

Per claim 9, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 8, wherein the identifiers include intellectual property identifiers (Fig. 11, element 10).

Per claim 10, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content includes an entire web page (fig. 1; content 10).

Per claim 11, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content includes documentation in a portable document format (Fig. 10; element 11).

Per claim 12, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the date is stored with the content (Fig. 9; date 90).

Per claim 13, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 12, wherein the date includes a date at which time the content was discovered (fig. 9; date 90).

Per claim 14, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the URL is stored with the content (Fig. 1, URL 11; Figs. 7-9).

Per claim 15, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 7, wherein the buckets are accessed via menus (Fig. 6; element 60).

Per claim 16, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 15, wherein the buckets are accessed via sub-menus (Fig. 6; element 60).

Per claim 17, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 15, wherein the buckets are selected by being clicked (Fig. 6; double click on 61).

Per claim 18, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein any content selected during use of the network browser results in automatic correlation with the pre-selected identifier (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 19, IE teaches an archival process that incorporates the features of claim 1 (Figs. 2-5).

Claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

### ***Inquiries***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.




Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. Vu

  
SY D. LUU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER